The effect of magnetization and electric polarization on the anomalous transport coefficients of a chiral fluid

N. Sadooghi[a,1], **S. M. A. Tabatabaee**[b,1]

[1]Department of Physics, Sharif University of Technology, P.O. Box 11155-9161, Tehran-Iran

arXiv:1612.02212v1 [hep-th] 7 Dec 2016

Abstract The effects of finite magnetization and electric polarization on dissipative and non-dissipative (anomalous) transport coefficients of a chiral fluid are studied. First, using the second law of thermodynamics as well as Onsager's time reversal symmetry principle, the complete set of dissipative transport coefficients of this medium are derived. It is shown that the properties of the resulting shear and bulk viscosities are mainly affected by the anisotropy induced by external electric and magnetic fields. Using then the fact that the anomaly induced currents do not contribute to entropy production, the corresponding algebro-differential equations to non-dissipative anomalous transport coefficients are derived in a certain derivative expansion. The solutions of these equations show that, within this approximation, anomalous transport coefficients are, in particular, given in terms of the electric susceptibility of the medium.

PACS: 47.75.+f, 47.65.-d, 11.15.-q, 11.30.Rd, 12.38.Mh

Keywords: Relativistic hydrodynamics, Axial anomaly, Electromagnetic fields, Transport coefficients, In-medium effects

1 Introduction

Symmetry principles play an important role in summarizing the regularities of the laws of nature. Although in the most of the cases symmetry principles even dictate the form of these laws, but, as it turns out, many of the texture of the world is due to various mechanisms of symmetry breaking. The latter includes explicit, spontaneous and anomalous symmetry breaking mechanisms. Among them anomalous symmetry breaking is a pure quantum mechanical phenomenon, which has no counterpart in classical (non-relativistic) physics. If a global symmetry is anomalous, it implies that the symmetry of the classical Lagrangian of the theory is not obeyed in the quantum theory. In other words, the Noether current associated with that anomalous global symmetry is no longer conserved on the quantum level. This anomaly can be determined, e.g., by putting the theory in a background electromagnetic field, which probes the medium, and determine in this way its physical properties.

Recently, there have been many attempts to observe the effects of quantum chiral anomalies in experiments. In particular, heavy ion collisions (HICs) are of enormous interest, mainly because of possible creation of very strong magnetic fields at an early stage of these collisions [1, 2]. The new state of matter, the plasma of quarks and gluons, which is produced at the same stage, is believed to include asymptotically free chiral fermions. The interplay between quantum anomalies with external magnetic fields results in a variety of novel non-dissipative anomalous transport phenomena in such a system (for a recent review see [3–5] and the references therein). These phenomena can principally be observed in HIC experiments [6, 7].

Some of these anomaly induced phenomena consist of chiral magnetic effect (CME) [1, 8], chiral separation effect (CSE) [9, 10], chiral vortical effect (CVE) [11–14], chiral vortical separation effect (CVSE) [5]. Whereas CME refers to the generation of an electric current induced by the chirality imbalance of the medium in the presence of an external magnetic field, CSE is characterized by an axial vector current which is generated along the external magnetic field. This is quite similar to the Ohm law, where an electric current is generated along an external electric field. In contrast to the Ohm's coefficient, however, the transport coefficients corresponding to CME and CSE currents are non-dissipative, and entirely dictated by chiral anomaly. In analogy to the external magnetic field, a global rotation of the medium, quantified by its vorticity, leads, in a medium with

[a]e-mail: sadooghi@physics.sharif.ir
[b]e-mail: tabatabaeemehr_sma@physics.sharif.edu

non-vanishing electric and axial chemical potential, to non-dissipative vector and axial vector currents, which are proportional to the vorticity of the medium. The corresponding proportionality factors are referred to as CVE and CVSE coefficients.

In [14, 15], these anomalous transport coefficients are determined within a relativistic hydrodynamical approach in the presence of an external magnetic field. Using the second law of thermodynamics and the fact that the anomaly induced currents do not contribute to entropy production [16], certain algebro-differential equations are derived, whose solutions yield the anomalous transport coefficients corresponding to the aforementioned effects. The purpose of this paper is to extend the method introduced originally in [14, 15] to a medium with finite magnetization and electric polarization. To the best of our knowledge, these in-medium modifications of anomalous transport coefficients, including the linear response of the medium to external electromagnetic fields, are not yet studied in the literature. They seem, however, to be important not only from theoretical point of view, but also because the experimentally relevant quark-gluon plasma turns out to have finite magnetic [17] and electric susceptibilities [18].

The present paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2, we introduce the ideal electro-magnetohydrodynamical (EMHD) framework with finite magnetization and electric polarization. We essentially follow the method introduced in [19, 20]. However, in contrast to these works, where the effect of the external electric field is neglected, we consider the case of non-vanishing magnetic *and* electric fields, and derive the relevant thermodynamic relations in the presence of finite magnetization *and* electric polarization. This brings our derivation in connection with quantum anomalies, where parallel magnetic *and* electric fields turn out to play a major role. In Sec. 3.1, we first derive the dissipative transport coefficients of the anomalous EMHD by using the second law of thermodynamics and the Onsager's time reversal symmetry principle. In particular, we show that the dissipative part of the electric current as well as the viscous stress tensor include a large number of thermal and electric conductivities as well as shear and bulk viscosities. Their properties are mainly affected by the anisotropies induced by external electric and magnetic fields. Our results are therefore a completion of the results presented in [19, 20], where the dissipative coefficients arisen from the electric field in the dissipative currents are absent. Moreover, our results include certain, previously discarded, dissipative coefficients which arise from the interplay between external electric and magnetic fields [see (45)]. In Sec. 3.2, we eventually use the fact that the anomaly induced currents do not contribute to entropy production, and derive the corresponding algebro-differential equations to anomalous transport coefficients of this medium. We show that, within a certain second order derivative expansion, these equations include, in particular, the electric susceptibility of the medium. We then follow the method introduced in [14], and determine the anomalous transport coefficients by solving the above mentioned equations analytically. Section 4 is devoted to a summary and a number of concluding remarks.

2 Ideal Anomalous Electro-Magnetohydrodynamics

Electro-magnetohydrodynamics addresses all phenomena related to the interaction of electric and magnetic fields with an electrically conducting magnetized fluid. An ideal and locally equilibrated relativistic fluid is characterized by its long-wavelength degrees of freedom, the four-velocity, the temperature and the chemical potential fields, $u^\mu(x)$, $T(x)$ and $\mu(x)$, respectively. The four-velocity $u^\mu=\gamma(1,\mathbf{v})$ is defined by the variation of the four-coordinate x^μ with respect to the proper-time τ, and satisfies $u_\mu u^\mu=1$.[1] In the absence of external electromagnetic fields, the physical observables, the entropy and baryonic currents $s^\mu_{(0)}$ and $n^\mu_{b(0)}$, as well as the fluid energy-momentum tensor $\mathscr{T}^{\mu\nu}_{F(0)}$, are expressed in terms of u^μ as[2]

$$\begin{aligned} s^\mu_{(0)} &\equiv s u^\mu, \qquad n^\mu_{b(0)} \equiv n_b u^\mu, \\ \mathscr{T}^{\mu\nu}_{F(0)} &\equiv \varepsilon u^\mu u^\nu - p\Delta^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

with $\Delta^{\mu\nu}\equiv g^{\mu\nu}-u^\mu u^\nu$, the projector onto the direction perpendicular to u^μ. In (1), ε, p, s, n_b are local energy density, thermodynamic pressure, entropy and baryon number densities of the ideal fluid, respectively. In an ideal and locally equilibrated fluid with no electromagnetic fields and sources, the quantities presented in (1) are conserved

$$\partial_\mu s^\mu_{(0)}=0, \quad \partial_\mu n^\mu_{b(0)}=0, \quad \partial_\mu \mathscr{T}^{\mu\nu}_{F(0)}=0. \tag{2}$$

In the presence of electromagnetic fields, however, the total energy-momentum tensor of the ideal fluid is to be modified as

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{(0)} = T^{\mu\nu}_{F(0)} + T^{\mu\nu}_{EM}, \tag{3}$$

where the fluid and electromagnetic energy-momentum tensors, $T^{\mu\nu}_{F(0)}$ and $T^{\mu\nu}_{EM}$, read

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu}_{F(0)} &= \varepsilon u^\mu u^\nu - p\Delta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\left(M^{\mu\lambda}F_\lambda{}^\nu + M^{\nu\lambda}F_\lambda{}^\mu\right), \\ T^{\mu\nu}_{EM} &= -F^{\mu\lambda}F^\nu{}_\lambda + \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}F^{\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here, the antisymmetric field strength and polarization tensors, $F^{\mu\nu}$ and $M^{\mu\nu}$, are expressed in terms of electric and magnetic fields, E and B, as

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\mu\nu} &\equiv E e^{\mu\nu} - B b^{\mu\nu}, \\ M^{\mu\nu} &\equiv -P e^{\mu\nu} - M b^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

[1] In the present work, $g^{\mu\nu}=\mathrm{diag}\,(1,-1,-1,-1)$.
[2] The subscript (0) denotes the case of ideal fluid.

with

$$e^{\mu\nu} \equiv e^\mu u^\nu - e^\nu u^\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad b^{\mu\nu} \equiv \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} b_\alpha u_\beta, \tag{6}$$

as well as $e^\mu \equiv \frac{E^\mu}{E}$ and $b^\mu \equiv \frac{B^\mu}{B}$. In a frame where the fluid is moving with the velocity u^μ, the four-vector of electric and magnetic fields, are given by $E^\mu \equiv F^{\mu\nu}u_\nu$ and $B^\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F_{\nu\alpha}u_\beta$. Here, $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor. In the rest frame of the fluid, with $u^\mu = (1,\mathbf{0})$, we have therefore $E^\mu = (0,\mathbf{E})$ and $B^\mu = (0,\mathbf{B})$. Here, $E^i = F^{i0}$ and $B^i = -\varepsilon^{ijk}F_{jk}/2$, as in non-relativistic electrodynamics. The strength of the electric and magnetic fields, E and B, are given by the normalization relations $E^\mu E_\mu = -E^2$ and $B_\mu B^\mu = -B^2$, which lead immediately to $e^\mu e_\mu = b^\mu b_\mu = -1$.

The antisymmetric polarization tensor $M^{\mu\nu}$ in (5) describes the response of the system to an applied field strength $F^{\mu\nu}$, and leads through the relation $F^{\mu\nu} - M^{\mu\nu} \equiv H^{\mu\nu}$ to the induced field strength tensor $H^{\mu\nu}$, which in terms of $e^{\mu\nu}$ and $b^{\mu\nu}$, is given by

$$H^{\mu\nu} \equiv De^{\mu\nu} - Hb^{\mu\nu}. \tag{7}$$

Here, in analogy to E^μ and B^μ, we define the four-vectors of induced electric and magnetic fields, $D^\mu \equiv H^{\mu\nu}u_\nu$ as $H^\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}H_{\nu\alpha}u_\beta$. They are in relation to the four-vectors of electric polarization $P^\mu \equiv -M^{\mu\nu}u_\nu$ and magnetization $M^\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}M_{\nu\alpha}u_\beta$. In the rest frame of the fluid, $D^\mu = (0,\mathbf{D})$ and $H^\mu = (0,\mathbf{H})$. Similarly, we have $P^\mu = (0,\mathbf{P})$ and $M^\mu = (0,\mathbf{M})$. In (5) and (7), $V \equiv |\mathbf{V}|$, with $V = \{E,B,P,M,D,H\}$ is used. This fixes the normalization relations $V^\mu V_\mu = -V^2$, with $V = \{E,B,P,M,D,H\}$.

The purpose of this paper is to study the effect of electric polarization P and magnetization M on dissipative and non-dissipative transport coefficients of an electromagnetized chiral fluid affected by the quantum anomaly. To this purpose, we introduce the electric and magnetic susceptibilities, χ_e and χ_m, arising in linearized relations between $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ as well as $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{P} = \chi_e\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{M} = \chi_m\mathbf{B}$. Moreover, to bring our derivation in connection with quantum anomalies, we will assume,

$$e_\mu b^{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{8}$$

In the rest frame of the fluid, (8) is equivalent to parallel electric and magnetic fields, $\mathbf{e}\|\mathbf{b}$ with $\mathbf{e} \equiv \mathbf{E}/E$ and $\mathbf{b} \equiv \mathbf{B}/B$ denoting the directions of external electric and magnetic fields. Apart from (8), $e_\nu\partial_\mu b^{\mu\nu} = -b^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu e_\nu = 0$ and $\partial_\mu\chi_e = \partial_\mu\chi_m = 0$ are assumed.

In what follows, the in-medium Maxwell equations will be used to determine the relevant thermodynamic relations for an ideal and locally equilibrated fluid. A number of useful relations will be also derived. We will keep our notations similar to what is presented in [19], where, in contrast to our presentation, the effect of electric field is neglected.

Introducing, in analogy to $n^\mu_{b(0)}$ from (1), the four-vector of electric current, $n^\mu_{e(0)} \equiv n_e u^\mu$, the inhomogeneous Maxwell equation reads

$$\partial_\mu H^{\mu\nu} = n^\nu_{e(0)}, \tag{9}$$

where $H^{\mu\nu}$ is defined in (7) and $n^\mu_{e(0)} \equiv (n_e,\mathbf{n}_e)$. Here, n_e is the electric charge density and $\mathbf{n}_e$ the corresponding electric current. As expected, (9) is consistent with the conservation relation of the electric current, $\partial_\mu n^\mu_{e(0)} = 0$. Together with the conservation relations $\partial_\mu s^\mu_{(0)} = 0$ and $\partial_\mu n^\mu_{b(0)} = 0$ from (2), an ideal fluid in the presence of electromagnetic fields is particularly described by the conservation of the full energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}_{(0)}$ from (3),

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{(0)} = 0. \tag{10}$$

Using $T^{\mu\nu}_{EM}$ from (4) and the inhomogeneous Maxwell equation (9), we arrive further at

$$\partial^\mu T^{EM}_{\mu\nu} = n^\mu_{\text{tot}}F_{\mu\nu}, \tag{11}$$

with

$$n^\mu_{\text{tot}} \equiv n^\mu_{e(0)} + \partial_\rho M^{\rho\mu}, \tag{12}$$

with $M^{\mu\nu} = F^{\mu\nu} - H^{\mu\nu}$. To derive (11), we have used the homogeneous Maxwell equation, $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta F_{\nu\alpha} = 0$. Plugging $F^{\mu\nu}$ from (5) into this equation, and using the standard relation $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} = -2(\delta^\mu_\rho\delta^\nu_\sigma - \delta^\mu_\sigma\delta^\nu_\rho)$, the homogeneous Maxwell equation is equivalently given by

$$\partial_\beta(\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}E_\nu u_\alpha) + \partial_\beta(B^\mu u^\beta - B^\beta u^\mu) = 0. \tag{13}$$

We use this equation to derive two useful relations, which play important roles in the rest of this work. First, contracting (13) with u_μ, we obtain

$$\partial_\mu B^\mu = u\cdot DB + 2E\cdot\omega, \tag{14}$$

where $D \equiv u^\mu\partial_\mu$ and $a\cdot b \equiv a^\mu b_\mu$. The four-vector ω^μ, on the right-hand side (r.h.s.) of (14), is the vorticity of the fluid, defined by

$$\omega^\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}u_\nu\partial_\rho u_\sigma. \tag{15}$$

Contracting further (13) with b^μ, and using (8) as well as $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}b^\mu\partial_\beta(E_\nu u_\alpha) = 0$, we arrive at another useful relation

$$D\ln B + \theta - u^\nu b^\mu\partial_\mu b_\nu = 0, \tag{16}$$

where $\theta \equiv \partial_\mu u^\mu$. The last useful relation reads

$$D\ln E + \theta - u_\nu e^\mu\partial_\mu e^\nu = 0. \tag{17}$$

To derive (17), let us first consider the energy-momentum tensor (3). Plugging $F^{\mu\nu}$ and $M^{\mu\nu}$ from (5) into $T^{\mu\nu}_{F(0)}$ and $T^{\mu\nu}_{EM}$ from (4), we arrive after some algebraic manipulations at

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu}_{F(0)} &= \varepsilon' u^\mu u^\nu - p_\perp\Xi^{\mu\nu}_B + p_\parallel b^\mu b^\nu - EPe^\mu e^\nu, \\ T^{\mu\nu}_{EM} &= \frac{1}{2}B^2\left(u^\mu u^\nu - \Xi^{\mu\nu}_B - b^\mu b^\nu\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}E^2\left(u^\mu u^\nu - \Xi^{\mu\nu}_E - e^\mu e^\nu\right), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where, $\varepsilon' \equiv \varepsilon + EP$, $p_\perp \equiv p - BM$, $p_\parallel \equiv p$, $\Xi_B^{\mu\nu} \equiv \Delta^{\mu\nu} + b^\mu b^\nu$, and $\Xi_E^{\mu\nu} \equiv \Delta^{\mu\nu} + e^\mu e^\nu$. We further consider $\partial^\mu T_{\mu\nu}^{EM} = n^\mu_{\text{tot}} F_{\mu\nu}$ from (11). Contracting this relation with u^ν, plugging $T_{EM}^{\mu\nu}$ from (18) into the left hand side (l.h.s.) of the resulting expression, and using eventually (8) as well as $b^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu e_\nu = 0$ and $\partial_\mu \chi_e = 0$, which lead to

$$(\partial_\mu M^{\mu\nu}) E_\nu = -\chi_e E^2 \left(D \ln E + \theta - u_\nu e^\mu \partial_\mu e^\nu \right),$$

we arrive at

$$(1+\chi_e)\left(D \ln E + \theta - u_\nu e^\mu \partial_\mu e^\nu \right) = 0.$$

The latter yields (17) for $\chi_e \neq -1$.

To check the consistency of the thermodynamic relations including electric and magnetic fields, let us contract (10) with u^ν. Using (17) and $\partial_\mu T_{F(0)}^{\mu\nu} = -\partial_\mu T_{EM}^{\mu\nu}$, which arises from (10) combined with the definition of $T_{(0)}^{\mu\nu}$ from (4), it is possible to show that $u_\nu \partial_\mu T_{F(0)}^{\mu\nu} = 0$. Plugging then $T_{F(0)}^{\mu\nu}$ from (18) into this relation, and using $u^\mu b_\mu = u^\mu e_\mu = 0$ and $u_\nu \partial_\mu u^\nu = b_\nu \partial_\mu b^\nu = e_\nu \partial_\mu e^\nu = 0$, we arrive eventually at

$$D\varepsilon + (\varepsilon + p)\theta + MDB + EDP = 0. \tag{19}$$

The relevant thermodynamic relation for $D\varepsilon$ is then derived by using

$$\varepsilon + p = Ts + \mu_b n_b + \mu_e n_e, \tag{20}$$

where μ_b and μ_e are the chemical potentials related to the baryon and electric number densities, n_b and n_e. Plugging $\varepsilon + p$ from (20) into (19), and using the conservation laws of baryon number density, $\partial_\mu n^\mu_{b(0)} = 0$, electric number density $\partial_\mu n^\mu_{e(0)} = 0$ and the entropy density current $\partial_\mu s^\mu_{(0)} = 0$, we arrive after some algebraic manipulations at

$$D\varepsilon = TDs + \mu_b Dn_b + \mu_e Dn_e - MDB - EDP, \tag{21}$$

which is consistent with the standard thermodynamic relation $d\varepsilon = Tds + \mu_b dn_b + \mu_e dn_e - MdB - EdP$ [21]. Combining at this stage (20) with (21), the Gibbs-Duhem relation is given by

$$Dp = sDT + n_b D\mu_b + n_e D\mu_e + MDB + EDP. \tag{22}$$

To obtain the standard Gibbs-Duhem relation in the presence of electric and magnetic fields, we define $\tilde{p} \equiv p - EP$. Using this definition in (22), we arrive at

$$D\tilde{p} = sDT + n_b D\mu_b + n_e D\mu_e + MDB - PDE. \tag{23}$$

Let us remind that the main aim of this paper is to study the effect of quantum (axial) anomaly on the EMHD equations once electric polarization P and magnetization M of the underlying fluid are not neglected. To do this, we introduce at this stage a $U(1)$ axial vector current,

$$n^\mu_{a(0)} \equiv n_a u^\mu, \tag{24}$$

which satisfies the classical conservation law

$$\partial_\mu n^\mu_{a(0)} = 0, \tag{25}$$

in the chiral limit.[3] Denoting the chemical potential associated with n_a by μ_a, the thermodynamic relations (20) and (21) turn out to be

$$\varepsilon + p = Ts + \mu_b n_b + \mu_e n_e + \mu_a n_a, \tag{26}$$

and

$$D\varepsilon = TDs + \sum_{i=\{b,e,a\}} \mu_i Dn_i - MDB - EDP, \tag{27}$$

respectively. In the next section, we will consider the axial anomaly of the axial vector current,

$$\partial_\mu n^\mu_a = -\frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} F^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = -CE\cdot B, \tag{28}$$

with $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F^{\rho\sigma}$ and $C \equiv \frac{e^2}{4\pi^2}$, and study its effect on non-dissipative transport coefficients of an electromagnetized relativistic fluid.[4]

3 Dissipative and anomalous transport coefficients of a chiral fluid

In the first part of this section, Sec. 3.1, we will derive the complete set of dissipative transport coefficients in the presence of electric and magnetic fields. To do this, we will follow the formalism of dissipative fluid dynamics from [19]. In Sec. 3.2, by taking into account the fact that the anomaly induced current is non-dissipative [16], we will then derive the algebro-differential equations leading to anomalous transport coefficients. Similar equations are derived originally in [14, 15], where the in-medium effects are neglected. These equations will then be solved in vacuum (Sec. 3.2.1) and in a medium with non-vanishing electric and magnetic susceptibilities (Sec. 3.2.2). In what follows, we will first derive the general structure of $\partial_\mu s^\mu$, with s^μ the current of the entropy density of a dissipative anomalous fluid.

Following the method presented in [19, 20], we start by introducing the first order dissipative and non-dissipative corrections to the conserved quantities of the ideal fluid, $T^{\mu\nu}_{(0)}, n^\mu_{b(0)}, n^\mu_{e(0)}$ and $s^\mu_{(0)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= T^{\mu\nu}_{(0)} + \tau^{\mu\nu}, \\ n^\mu_b &= n_b u^\mu + j^\mu_b, \\ n^\mu_e &= n_e u^\mu + j^\mu_e, \\ s^\mu &= s u^\mu + j^\mu_s + D_\omega \omega^\mu + D_B B^\mu + D_E E^\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Here, the total energy-momentum tensor of the ideal fluid, $T^{\mu\nu}_{(0)}$, is defined in (3) and (4), and n_b, n_e and s are the baryonic and electric number densities as well as the entropy

[3] We assume that the quark matter, which is effectively described by our ideal fluid, consists of massless quarks.

[4] The sign in front of C in (28) is fixed by assuming parallel electric and magnetic fields aligned in the third direction. This leads, according to our definitions, to $F_{12} = -B$ and $F^{03} = E$.

density of the ideal fluid. The coefficients D_ω, D_B and D_E in s^μ are associated with the anomaly. The coefficients D_ω and D_B are originally introduced in [14], where the entropy density current s^μ is expanded only in terms of the vorticity ω_μ from (15) and the external magnetic field B^μ. Here, in the presence of magnetic *and* electric fields, we have also considered the effect of the external electric field E^μ, and introduced D_E as its coefficient. Later, we will explicitly show that $D_E = 0$. This is also expected from symmetry arguments. To consider the quantum anomaly of the dissipative electromagnetized fluid, we shall also replace the axial vector current $n^\mu_{a(0)}$ from (24) with

$$n^\mu_a = n_a u^\mu + j^\mu_a. \tag{30}$$

Whereas in (29), $\tau^{\mu\nu}, j^\mu_b$ and j^μ_e are dissipative currents, the additional (non-dissipative) current j^μ_a in (30) is introduced in analogy to the considerations in [14, 15], and will later be used to determine the algebro-differential equations leading to anomalous transport coefficients. Moreover, a non-dissipative part will be added to j^μ_e in line with the arguments in [14, 15].

Let us notice that $j^\mu_i, i = b,e,a$ are orthogonal to u^μ, *i.e.* $u_\mu j^\mu_i = 0$, for $i = b,e,a$. Moreover, $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ is a symmetric second rank tensor, satisfying the orthogonality condition $u_\mu \tau^{\mu\nu} = 0$.

At this stage, we will use the conservation relations associated with the anomalous EMHD

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad && \partial_\mu n^\mu_b = 0, \\ &\partial_\mu n^\mu_e = 0, \qquad && \partial_\mu n^\mu_a = -CE\cdot B, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

together with the second law of thermodynamics, $T\partial_\mu s^\mu \geq 0$, in order to arrive at an appropriate relation for $T\partial_\mu s^\mu$. To do this, we shall first consider the conservation relation (11) for the electromagnetic energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}_{EM}$ from (18). Replacing the ideal electric current $n^\mu_{e(0)}$ on the r.h.s. of (11) by n^μ_e from (29), and contracting the resulting expression with u_ν, we arrive at

$$D\ln E + \theta - u_\nu e^\mu \partial_\mu e^\nu = \frac{E\cdot j_e}{(1+\chi_e)E^2}. \tag{32}$$

This relation replaces (17) of the ideal electromagnetized fluid. To derive (32), the relations (16), together with

$$\begin{aligned} u_\nu \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{EM} &= E^2\left(D\ln E + \theta - u_\nu e^\mu \partial_\mu e^\nu\right), \\ (\partial_\mu M^{\mu\nu})E_\nu &= -\chi_e E^2\left(D\ln E + \theta - u_\nu e^\mu \partial_\mu e^\nu\right), \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

are used. Using then the conservation of the total energy-momentum tensor, $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ from (31), with $T^{\mu\nu}$ from (29), and following the same steps leading from $u_\nu \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{(0)} = 0$ to (19), we obtain

$$D\varepsilon + (\varepsilon + p)\theta + MDB + EDP + E\cdot j_e + u_\nu \partial_\mu \tau^{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{34}$$

To arrive at (34), the identity (32) and

$$\begin{aligned} u_\nu \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{F(0)} &= D\varepsilon + (\varepsilon+p)\theta + MDB + EDP + \frac{\chi_e}{1+\chi_e} E\cdot j_e, \\ u_\nu \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{EM} &= \frac{E\cdot j_e}{1+\chi_e}, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

are used. Expressing then j^μ_s as a linear combination of j^μ_b, j^μ_e and j^μ_a, as in [19],

$$j^\mu_s = -\alpha_b j^\mu_b - \alpha_e j^\mu_e - \alpha_a j^\mu_a, \tag{36}$$

and using (26) to express $(\varepsilon + p)$ in (34) in terms of all the other thermodynamic variables, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &D\varepsilon - \sum_{i\in\{b,e,a\}} \mu_i Dn_i - TDs + MDB + EDP \\ &+ \sum_{i\in\{b,e,a\}} \mu_i \partial_\mu (n_i u^\mu) + T\partial_\mu (su^\mu) + E\cdot j_e - \tau^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu u_\nu = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The first four terms on the l.h.s. of (37) vanish by making use of (27) from ideal EMHD. Replacing further $n_i u^\mu$ and su^μ on the l.h.s. of (37) by their definitions $n_i u^\mu = n^\mu_i - j^\mu_i$ for $i = b,e,a$ and $su^\mu = s^\mu - j^\mu_s - D_\omega \omega^\mu - D_B B^\mu - D_E E^\mu$ from (29), and using the conservation relations for $n^\mu_i, i = b,e,a$ from (31), as well as the expansion of j^μ_s in terms of the other dissipative currents from (36), we arrive after some straightforward algebraic manipulations at

$$\begin{aligned} T\partial_\mu s^\mu = {} & \tau^{\mu\nu} w_{\mu\nu} + (\mu_a - T\alpha_a)\partial_\mu j^\mu_a + (\mu_b - T\alpha_b)\partial_\mu j^\mu_b + (\mu_e - T\alpha_e)\partial_\mu j^\mu_e - j^\mu_a T\nabla_\mu \alpha_a - j^\mu_b T\nabla_\mu \alpha_b - j^\mu_e (T\nabla_\mu \alpha_e + E_\mu) \\ & + \mu_a CE\cdot B + T\partial_\mu (D_\omega \omega^\mu + D_B B^\mu + D_E E^\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Here, $\nabla_\mu \equiv \Delta_{\mu\nu}\partial^\nu$ and $w^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^\mu u^\nu + \nabla^\nu u^\mu)$ are introduced. To satisfy the positivity condition of $T\partial_\mu s^\mu$, the expression on the r.h.s. of (38) is to be non-negative. This leads immediately to

$$\alpha_i = \tfrac{\mu_i}{T}, \quad \text{for} \quad i = a,b,e, \tag{39}$$

and the general Ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} j^\mu_b &= -\sigma^{\mu\nu}_b T\nabla_\nu \alpha_b, \\ j^\mu_e &= -\sigma^{\mu\nu}_e (T\nabla_\nu \alpha_e + E_\nu) + \kappa_\omega \omega^\mu + \kappa_B B^\mu + \kappa_E E^\mu, \\ j^\mu_a &= \xi_\omega \omega^\mu + \xi_B B^\mu + \xi_E E^\mu, \\ \tau^{\mu\nu} &= \eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} w_{\rho\sigma}, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $\sigma_b^{\mu\nu}, \sigma_e^{\mu\nu}$ and $\eta^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ include dissipative transport coefficients, and κ_i and $\xi_i, i = B, E, \omega$ are non-dissipative coefficients. The latter can be expressed in terms of anomalous transport coefficients. Let us notice that the dissipative transport coefficients $\sigma_b^{\mu\nu}, \sigma_e^{\mu\nu}$ and $\eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ are orthogonal to u^μ, and are symmetric under $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$. In the next two sections, we will use the Onsager's time-reversal principle to first determine $\sigma_i^{\mu\nu}, i = b, e$ and $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ in terms of thermal conductivity as well as longitudinal and transverse shear and bulk viscosities. This will generalize the standard formulation of MHD presented in [19] to the case of non-vanishing electric field. We will then consider the anomalous contributions to j_e^μ and j_a^μ in (38) proportional to κ_i and $\xi_i, i = B, E, \omega$, and by combining them [15], we will arrive at the algebro-differential equations leading to κ_i and $\xi_i, i = B, E, \omega$ as well as $D_i, i = B, E, \omega$ in a dense and hot quark matter in the presence of constant **E** and **B** fields. This will generalize the results presented in [14, 15] to the case of a fluid with finite magnetization M and electric polarization P.

3.1 Dissipative currents of an anomalous chiral fluid

According to the Onsager's principle for transport coefficients [22], the thermal conductivity, $\sigma_i^{\mu\nu}, i = b, e$, corresponding to the diffusive fluxes of the baryonic and electric number density n_b and n_e shall satisfy

$$\sigma_i^{\mu\nu}(E, B) = \sigma_i^{\nu\mu}(E, -B), \qquad i = b, e. \tag{41}$$

Moreover, $\sigma_{b/e}^{\mu\nu}$ have to satisfy the orthogonality condition $u_\mu \sigma_i^{\mu\nu} = 0$ for $i = b, e$, and are to be symmetric under $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$. The relation $e_\mu b^{\mu\nu} = 0$ from (8) is also to be taken into account.

To build $\sigma_{b/e}^{\mu\nu}$, we expand it in terms of independent irreducible rank-two tensors, which are built from $u^\mu, g^{\mu\nu}, b^\mu$ and e^μ [19]. The only relevant tensors which are compatible with the above mentioned conditions are thus given by

$$\Delta^{\mu\nu},\ b^\mu b^\nu,\ e^\mu e^\nu. \tag{42}$$

Other rank-two tensors like $b^{\mu\nu},\ e^{[\mu} b^{\nu]}, \cdots$ are excluded because of the aforementioned conditions. Here, $A^{[\mu} B^{\nu]} \equiv A^\mu B^\nu - A^\nu B^\mu$. Introducing at this stage, three independent thermal conductivity coefficients $\sigma_{b/e}^{(i)}, i = 1, 2, 3$, associated with the relevant tensors from (42), the dissipative rank-two tensors $\sigma_b^{\mu\nu}$ and $\sigma_e^{\mu\nu}$ from (40) read

$$\sigma_i^{\mu\nu} = \sigma_i^{(1)} \Delta^{\mu\nu} + \sigma_i^{(2)} b^\mu b^\nu + \sigma_i^{(3)} e^\mu e^\nu, \qquad i = b, e. \tag{43}$$

Plugging then $\sigma_i^{\mu\nu}, i = b, e$ from (43) into (40), the dissipative part of the baryonic and electric currents reads

$$\begin{aligned} j_b^\mu &= -\sigma_b^{(1)} T\nabla^\mu \alpha_b - \sigma_b^{(2)} b^\mu b^\nu T\nabla_\nu \alpha_b \\ &\quad -\sigma_b^{(3)} e^\mu e^\nu T\nabla_\nu \alpha_b. \\ j_e^\mu &= -\sigma_e^{(1)} (T\nabla^\mu \alpha_e + E^\mu) \\ &\quad -\sigma_e^{(2)} b^\mu b^\nu (T\nabla_\nu \alpha_e + E_\nu) - \sigma_e^{(3)} e^\mu e^\nu (T\nabla_\nu \alpha_e + E_\nu). \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The coefficients $\sigma_b^{(i)}$ and $\sigma_e^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$ are previously introduced in [19]. The remaining coefficients arise once the effects of the external electric field are not neglected.

As concerns the viscous stress tensor $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ from (40), we apply, as in [19], the Onsager's principle

$$\eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E, B) = \eta^{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}(E, -B),$$

on the rank-four tensor $\eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ appearing in (40). All relevant tensors, compatible with this principle and expressed in terms of u^μ, b^μ and e^μ as well as $g^{\mu\nu}, b^{\mu\nu}$ and $e^{\mu\nu}$ are listed in Table 1 in four different series. All these bases fulfill the orthogonality condition, and, are symmetric under $\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma$. The bases appearing in series I and II are previously introduced in [19]. The new bases appearing in series III include only e^μ and $e^{\mu\nu}$, and those in series IV, include both electric and magnetic fields. Using these bases, and following the method presented in [20] (see Appendix A for more detail), the viscous stress tensor is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{\mu\nu} &= 2\eta_0 \left(w^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\Delta^{\mu\nu}\theta \right) + \eta_B^{(1)} \left(\Delta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{2}\Xi_B^{\mu\nu} \right) \left(\theta - \frac{3}{2}\phi_B \right) - 2\eta_B^{(2)} \left(\Xi_B^{\mu\rho} b^\nu b^\sigma + \Xi_B^{\nu\rho} b^\mu b^\sigma \right) w_{\rho\sigma} \\ &\quad + 2\eta_B^{(3)} \left(\Xi_B^{\mu\rho} b^{\nu\sigma} + \Xi_B^{\nu\rho} b^{\mu\sigma} \right) w_{\rho\sigma} + 2\eta_B^{(4)} \left(b^{\mu\rho} b^\nu b^\sigma + b^{\nu\rho} b^\mu b^\sigma \right) w_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{3}{2}\zeta_B^\perp \Xi_B^{\mu\nu} \phi_B + 3\zeta_B^\parallel b^\mu b^\nu \varphi_B \\ &\quad + \eta_E^{(1)} \left(\Delta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{2}\Xi_E^{\mu\nu} \right) \left(\theta - \frac{3}{2}\phi_E \right) - 2\eta_E^{(2)} \left(\Xi_E^{\mu\rho} e^\nu e^\sigma + \Xi_E^{\nu\rho} e^\mu e^\sigma \right) w_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{3}{2}\zeta_E^\perp \Xi_E^{\mu\nu} \phi_E + 3\zeta_E^\parallel e^\mu e^\nu \varphi_E \\ &\quad + \eta_{EB}^{(1)} \left(\Delta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{2}\Xi_E^{\mu\nu} \right) \left(\theta - \frac{3}{2}\phi_B \right) + \eta_{EB}^{(2)} \left(\Delta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{2}\Xi_B^{\mu\nu} \right) \left(\theta - \frac{3}{2}\phi_E \right) + 2\eta_{EB}^{(3)} (\Xi_E^{\mu\rho} b^{\nu\sigma} + \Xi_E^{\nu\rho} b^{\mu\sigma}) w_{\rho\sigma} \\ &\quad + 2\eta_{EB}^{(4)} (b^{\mu\rho} e^\nu e^\sigma + b^{\nu\rho} e^\mu e^\sigma) w_{\rho\sigma} + 2\eta_{EB}^{(5)} \left(\omega_\sigma^\mu b^{[\nu} e^{\sigma]} + \omega_\rho^\nu b^{[\mu} e^{\rho]} \right) \\ &\quad - 2\eta_{EB}^{(6)} [(\Xi_B^{\mu\rho} e^\nu e^\sigma + \Xi_B^{\nu\rho} e^\mu e^\sigma) + (\Xi_E^{\mu\rho} b^\nu b^\sigma + \Xi_E^{\nu\rho} b^\mu b^\sigma) + 2(e^\mu b^\rho - b^\mu e^\rho)(e^\nu b^\sigma - b^\nu e^\sigma)] w_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{3}{2}\zeta_{EB}^{(1)\perp} \Xi_B^{\mu\nu} \phi_E \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2}\zeta_{EB}^{(2)\perp} \Xi_E^{\mu\nu} \phi_B + 3\zeta_{EB}^{(1)\parallel} (e^\mu e^\nu \varphi_B + b^\mu b^\nu \varphi_E) + 6\zeta_{EB}^{(2)\parallel} b^{(\mu} e^{\nu)} \varphi_{EB} + \zeta_{EB}^{(3)\parallel} \left(2\Delta^{\mu\nu} \varphi_{EB} - \theta b^{(\mu} e^{\nu)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Here, $\phi_{B/E} \equiv \Xi^{\mu\nu}_{B/E} w_{\mu\nu}$, $\varphi_B \equiv b^\mu b^\nu w_{\mu\nu}$, $\varphi_E \equiv e^\mu e^\nu w_{\mu\nu}$ and $\varphi_{EB} \equiv b^\mu e^\nu w_{\mu\nu}$. Moreover $A^{(\mu} B^{\nu)} \equiv A^\mu B^\nu + A^\nu B^\mu$. As expected, $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric under $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ and satisfies $u_\mu \tau^{\mu\nu} = 0$. According to our descriptions in Appendix A, shear viscosities $\eta_0, \eta_B^{(i)}, i = 1,\cdots,4, \eta_E^{(i)}, i = 1,2$ and $\eta_{EB}^{(i)}, i = 1,\cdots,6$ corresponds to traceless rank-two tensors, while the tensors proportional to bulk viscosities $\zeta_i^\perp, \zeta_i^\parallel, i = E,B$ as well as $\zeta_{EB}^{(i)\perp}, i = 1,2$ and $\zeta_{EB}^{(i)\parallel}, i = 1,2,3$ have non-vanishing traces. Shear viscosities $\eta_0, \eta_B^{(i)}, i = 1,\cdots,4$ as well as bulk viscosities $\zeta_B^\perp$ and $\zeta_B^\parallel$ appear originally in [22] as well as in [19]. Here, we have completed the list of dissipative transport coefficients by considering the additional effect of an external electric field. Let us also notice that all bases including the combination $e_\mu b^{\mu\nu}$ are excluded once the condition (8) is taken into account.

Multiplying at this stage the expressions on the r.h.s. of (44) and (45) with $T\nabla_\mu \alpha_b, (T\nabla_\mu \alpha_e + E_\mu)$ and $w_{\mu\nu}$, respectively [see (38)], and requiring $T\partial_\mu s^\mu \geq 0$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\partial_\mu s^\mu &= 2\eta_0 \left(w^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\Delta^{\mu\nu}\theta\right)^2 + \eta_B^{(1)} \left(\theta - \frac{3}{2}\phi_B\right)^2 + 2\eta_B^{(2)} (b_\mu b^\rho w_{\rho\nu} - b_\nu b^\rho w_{\rho\mu})(b^\mu b_\sigma w^{\sigma\nu} - b^\nu b_\sigma w^{\sigma\mu}) \\
&+ \eta_E^{(1)} \left(\theta - \frac{3}{2}\phi_E\right)^2 + 2\eta_E^{(2)} (e_\mu e^\rho w_{\rho\nu} - e_\nu e^\rho w_{\rho\mu})(e^\mu e_\sigma w^{\sigma\nu} - e^\nu e_\sigma w^{\sigma\mu}) \\
&+ 2\eta_{EB}^{(6)} (b_\mu e^\rho w_{\rho\nu} - b_\nu e^\rho w_{\rho\mu})(b^\mu e_\sigma w^{\sigma\nu} - b^\nu e_\sigma w^{\sigma\mu}) + 2\eta_{EB}^{(6)} (e_\mu b^\rho w_{\rho\nu} - e_\nu b^\rho w_{\rho\mu})(e^\mu b_\sigma w^{\sigma\nu} - e^\nu b_\sigma w^{\sigma\mu}) \\
&+ 4\eta_{EB}^{(6)} \left(b^\mu e^\rho w_{\rho\sigma} - e^\mu b^\rho w_{\rho\sigma}\right)\left(b^\sigma e^\nu w_{\nu\mu} - e^\sigma b^\nu w_{\nu\mu}\right) + \frac{3}{2}\zeta_B^\perp (\phi_B + \phi_E)^2 + 3\zeta_B^\parallel (\varphi_B + \varphi_E)^2 + 12\zeta_{EB}^{(2)\parallel} \varphi_{EB}^2 \\
&+ (\sigma_b^{(1)} g^{\mu\nu} + \sigma_b^{(2)} b^\mu b^\nu + \sigma_b^{(3)} e^\mu e^\nu)(T\nabla_\mu \alpha_b)(T\nabla_\nu \alpha_b) \\
&+ (\sigma_e^{(1)} g^{\mu\nu} + \sigma_e^{(2)} b^\mu b^\nu + \sigma_e^{(3)} e^\mu e^\nu)(T\nabla_\mu \alpha_e + E_\mu)(T\nabla_\nu \alpha_e + E_\nu).
\end{aligned} \tag{46}
$$

In order to build a non-negative expression for $T\partial_\mu s^\mu$, we have set $\eta_{EB}^{(1)} = -\eta_{EB}^{(2)}$, and chosen $\zeta_E^\perp = \zeta_{EB}^{(1)\perp} = \zeta_{EB}^{(2)\perp} = \zeta_B^\perp$ as well as $\zeta_E^\parallel = \zeta_{EB}^{(1)\parallel} = \zeta_B^\parallel$. We also observe that $\eta_B^{(i)}, i = 3,4$ as well as $\eta_{EB}^{(i)}, i = 3,4,5$ and $\zeta_{EB}^{(3)\parallel}$ do not contribute to the entropy production.

3.2 Anomalous transport coefficients

Let us now consider the remaining terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-j_a^\mu T\partial_\mu \alpha_a - j_e^\mu (T\partial_\mu \alpha_e - E_\mu) + \mu_a CE\cdot B \\
&+T\partial_\mu (D_\omega \omega^\mu + D_B B^\mu + D_E E^\mu),
\end{aligned}
$$

appearing in $T\partial_\mu s^\mu$ from (38). In what follows, we will determine the anomalous coefficients D_i, κ_i and $\xi_i, i = B,E,\omega$, introduced in j_e^μ and j_a^μ from (40).

To do this, let us insert j_a^μ and the anomalous part of j_e^μ from (40) into the above expression and set the resulting expression equal to zero. We arrive first at

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-T\xi_\omega \omega\cdot\partial\alpha_a - T\xi_B B\cdot\partial\alpha_a - T\xi_E E\cdot\partial\alpha_a \\
&-T\kappa_\omega \omega\cdot\partial\alpha_e - T\kappa_E E\cdot\partial\alpha_e - T\kappa_B B\cdot\partial\alpha_e \\
&-\kappa_B B\cdot E - \kappa_\omega E\cdot\omega - \kappa_E E\cdot E + C\alpha_a TB\cdot E \\
&+T\omega\cdot\partial D_\omega + TB\cdot\partial D_B + TE\cdot\partial D_E \\
&+TD_\omega \partial_\mu \omega^\mu + TD_B \partial_\mu B^\mu + TD_E \partial_\mu E^\mu = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{47}
$$

At this stage, we have to insert the corresponding expressions to $\partial_\mu \omega^\mu, \partial_\mu B^\mu$, and $\partial_\mu E^\mu$ into (47), and after reordering the resulting expression in terms of independent bases

$$
1, B^\mu, E^\mu, \omega^\mu, B\cdot\omega, E\cdot\omega, E\cdot E, B\cdot E, \tag{48}
$$

determine their coefficients. In Appendix B, we have determined the exact values of $\partial_\mu B^\mu, \partial_\mu E^\mu$ and $\partial_\mu \omega^\mu$ [see (B.11), (B.12) and (B.22)]. Linearizing these expressions in terms of independent bases (48), and keeping only the terms in the second order derivative expansion, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_\mu B^\mu &\approx b_1 (E\cdot\omega) - b_2 B^\mu \partial_\mu p - b_3 (B\cdot E), \\
\partial_\mu E^\mu &\approx e_1 (B\cdot\omega) - e_2 E^\mu \partial_\mu p - e_3 (E\cdot E) + e_4 n_e \\
\partial_\mu \omega^\mu &\approx v_1 (E\cdot\omega) + v_2 \omega^\mu \partial_\mu p,
\end{aligned} \tag{49}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
&b_1 = 2, && b_2 = (\varepsilon + p)^{-1}, \\
&b_3 = n_e (1+\chi_e)^{-1} b_2, && \\
&e_1 = -2(1-\chi_m)(1+\chi_e)^{-1}, && e_2 = b_2, \\
&e_3 = n_e (1+\chi_e)^{-1} b_2, && e_4 = (1+\chi_e)^{-1}, \\
&v_1 = -2n_e (1+\chi_e)^{-1} b_2, && v_2 = -2b_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{50}
$$

Series I	Series II
$\Delta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\rho\sigma}$	$\Delta^{\mu\nu}b^\rho b^\sigma + \Delta^{\rho\sigma}b^\mu b^\nu$
$\Delta^{\mu\rho}\Delta^{\nu\sigma} + \Delta^{\mu\sigma}\Delta^{\nu\rho}$	$b^\mu b^\nu b^\rho b^\sigma$
	$\Delta^{\mu\rho}b^\nu b^\sigma + \Delta^{\nu\rho}b^\mu b^\sigma + \Delta^{\mu\sigma}b^\nu b^\rho + \Delta^{\nu\sigma}b^\mu b^\rho$
	$\Delta^{\mu\rho}b^{\nu\sigma} + \Delta^{\nu\rho}b^{\mu\sigma} + \Delta^{\mu\sigma}b^{\nu\rho} + \Delta^{\nu\sigma}b^{\mu\rho}$
	$b^{\mu\rho}b^\nu b^\sigma + b^{\nu\rho}b^\mu b^\sigma + b^{\mu\sigma}b^\nu b^\rho + b^{\nu\sigma}b^\mu b^\rho$
Series III	**Series IV**
$\Delta^{\mu\nu}e^\rho e^\sigma + \Delta^{\rho\sigma}e^\mu e^\nu$	$e^\mu e^\nu b^\rho b^\sigma + b^\mu b^\nu e^\rho e^\sigma$
$e^\mu e^\nu e^\rho e^\sigma$	$b^{\rho\mu}e^\nu e^\sigma + b^{\rho\nu}e^\mu e^\sigma + b^{\sigma\mu}e^\nu e^\rho + b^{\sigma\nu}e^\mu e^\rho$
$\Delta^{\mu\rho}e^\nu e^\sigma + \Delta^{\nu\rho}e^\mu e^\sigma + \Delta^{\mu\sigma}e^\nu e^\rho + \Delta^{\nu\sigma}e^\mu e^\rho$	$b^{(\mu,}e^{\nu)}b^{(\rho,}e^{\sigma)}$
	$\Delta^{\mu\rho}b^{[\nu,}e^{\sigma]} + \Delta^{\nu\sigma}b^{[\mu,}e^{\rho]} + \Delta^{\mu\sigma}b^{[\nu,}e^{\rho]} + \Delta^{\nu\rho}b^{[\mu,}e^{\sigma]}$
	$\Delta^{\mu\nu}b^{(\rho,}e^{\sigma)} - \Delta^{\rho\sigma}b^{(\mu,}e^{\nu)}$

Table 1 Relevant combinations contributing to $\eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$. They are all compatible with the Onsager's principle $\eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E,B) = \eta^{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}(E,-B)$ and the orthonormality condition $u_\mu\eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 0$. Moreover, they are all symmetric under $\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma$.

Here, we have used the fact that B^μ, E^μ, ω^μ as well as M and P are $\mathscr{O}(\partial)$ and u_μ, ε and p are $\mathscr{O}(1)$. In (49), we have kept only terms in $\mathscr{O}(\partial^2)$, and discard all the remaining terms. This defines our second order derivative expansion. Let us notice that the only effect of the medium, within this second order derivative expansion, is reflected in the appearance of non-vanishing electric and magnetic susceptibilities, χ_e and χ_m in (A.4). Thus, setting $\chi_e = \chi_m = 0$ in (49), the results for $\partial_\mu B^\mu$ and $\partial_\mu \omega^\mu$ presented in [14] for $\partial_\mu B^\mu$ and $\partial_\mu \omega^\mu$ are reproduced. Plugging now (49) into (47), and simplifying the resulting expressions, we arrive first at

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 = {} & +TB^\mu[\partial_\mu D_B - b_2 D_B \partial_\mu p - \kappa_B \partial_\mu \alpha_e - \xi_B \partial_\mu \alpha_a] + TE^\mu[\partial_\mu D_E - e_2 D_E \partial_\mu p - \kappa_E \partial_\mu \alpha_e - \xi_E \partial_\mu \alpha_a] \\
& + T\omega^\mu[\partial_\mu D_\omega + v_2 D_\omega \partial_\mu p - \kappa_\omega \partial_\mu \alpha_e - \xi_\omega \partial_\mu \alpha_a] + T(B\cdot E)\left(-b_3 D_B - \frac{\kappa_B}{T} + C\alpha_a\right) \\
& + T(B\cdot\omega)e_1 D_E + T(E\cdot\omega)\left(v_1 D_\omega + b_1 D_B - \frac{\kappa_\omega}{T}\right) - T(E\cdot E)\left(e_3 D_E + \frac{\kappa_E}{T}\right) + Te_4 n_e D_E .
\end{aligned}
\tag{51}
$$

Using then the fact that the bases (48) are linear independent, the expressions in front of them can be set independently equal to zero. We arrive immediately at $D_E = \kappa_E = \xi_E = 0$, as expected from the symmetry reasons. We conclude that the coefficients proportional to E^μ in s^μ, j_e^μ and j_a^μ do not receive any contribution from anomaly. All the other anomalous transport coefficients satisfy the following algebro-differential equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_\mu D_B - \frac{D_B \partial_\mu p}{(\varepsilon+p)} - \kappa_B \partial_\mu \alpha_e - \xi_B \partial_\mu \alpha_a = 0, \\
& \partial_\mu D_\omega - \frac{2D_\omega \partial_\mu p}{(\varepsilon+p)} - \kappa_\omega \partial_\mu \alpha_e - \xi_\omega \partial_\mu \alpha_a = 0, \\
& \frac{n_e}{(1+\chi_e)}\frac{D_B}{(\varepsilon+p)} + \frac{\kappa_B}{T} - C\alpha_a = 0, \\
& \frac{2n_e}{(1+\chi_e)}\frac{D_\omega}{(\varepsilon+p)} - 2D_B + \frac{\kappa_\omega}{T} = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{52}
$$

In vacuum, where in particular χ_e vanishes, the above equations (52) reduce to the equations appearing in [15],

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_\mu D_B - \frac{D_B \partial_\mu p}{(\varepsilon+p)} - \kappa_B \partial_\mu \alpha_e - \xi_B \partial_\mu \alpha_a = 0, \\
& \partial_\mu D_\omega - \frac{2D_\omega \partial_\mu p}{(\varepsilon+p)} - \kappa_\omega \partial_\mu \alpha_e - \xi_\omega \partial_\mu \alpha_a = 0, \\
& \frac{n_e D_B}{(\varepsilon+p)} + \frac{\kappa_B}{T} - C\alpha_a = 0, \\
& \frac{2n_e D_\omega}{(\varepsilon+p)} - 2D_B + \frac{\kappa_\omega}{T} = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{53}
$$

A comparison between (52) and (53) shows that, within this second order approximation, only the algebraic equation receives contribution from χ_e, the electric susceptibility of the medium. The magnetic susceptibility, χ_m, plays thus no role in modifying the anomalous transport coefficients in this approximation. In what follows, we consider first the algebro-differential equations (53), and solve them to determine D_k, ξ_k, κ_k for $k = B, \omega$ in terms of thermodynamical quantities ε, p, α_e and α_a. We then present the solutions of (52) in an electrically polarized hot and dense medium in the presence of an external magnetic field.

3.2.1 Anomalous transport coefficients in vacuum

To solve (53), we use the same method as in [14, 15]. Introducing $\alpha_i = \frac{\mu_i}{T}, i = a, e$ and replacing $d\mu_i = Td\alpha_i + \alpha_i dT$ in

the Gibbs-Duhem equation $dp = sdT + \sum_{i=\{e,a\}} n_i d\mu_i$, arising from $\varepsilon + p = Ts + \sum_{i=\{e,a\}} n_i\mu_i$ and

$$d\varepsilon = Tds + \sum_{i=\{e,a\}} \mu_i dn_i,$$

we arrive at

$$\partial_\mu p = \frac{\varepsilon+p}{T}\partial_\mu T + n_e T\partial_\mu\alpha_e + n_a T\partial_\mu\alpha_a. \tag{54}$$

We therefore have,

$$\left(\frac{dp}{dT}\right)_{\alpha_e,\alpha_a} = \sum_{i=\{e,a\}}(s+n_i\alpha_i) = \frac{\varepsilon+p}{T},$$
$$\left(\frac{dp}{d\alpha_e}\right)_{\alpha_a,T} = n_e T, \qquad \left(\frac{dp}{d\alpha_a}\right)_{\alpha_e,T} = n_a T. \tag{55}$$

Plugging at this stage,

$$\partial_\mu D_k = \left(\frac{\partial D_k}{\partial T}\right)\partial_\mu T + \left(\frac{\partial D_k}{\partial\alpha_e}\right)\partial_\mu\alpha_e + \left(\frac{\partial D_k}{\partial\alpha_a}\right)\partial_\mu\alpha_a, \tag{56}$$

for $k = B, \omega$ and $\partial_\mu p$ from (54) into the first two differential equations in (53), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial D_B}{\partial T} = \frac{D_B}{T},$$
$$\frac{\partial D_B}{\partial\alpha_e} = \frac{n_e D_B T}{\varepsilon+p} + \kappa_B,$$
$$\frac{\partial D_B}{\partial\alpha_a} = \frac{n_a D_B T}{\varepsilon+p} + \xi_B, \tag{57}$$

as well as

$$\frac{\partial D_\omega}{\partial T} = \frac{2D_\omega}{T},$$
$$\frac{\partial D_\omega}{\partial\alpha_e} = \frac{2n_e D_\omega T}{\varepsilon+p} + \kappa_\omega,$$
$$\frac{\partial D_\omega}{\partial\alpha_a} = \frac{2n_a D_\omega T}{\varepsilon+p} + \xi_\omega. \tag{58}$$

From the first two differential equations in (57) and (58), we have first

$$D_B = T d_B(\alpha_e,\alpha_a), \quad D_\omega = T^2 d_\omega(\alpha_e,\alpha_a), \tag{59}$$

where $d_B(\alpha_e,\alpha_a)$ and $d_\omega(\alpha_e,\alpha_a)$ are still to be determined. To do this, let us consider the last two algebraic relations in (53). Combining these two relations with the second relations from (57) and (58), we obtain the corresponding differential equations to d_B and d_ω, which lead to

$$d_B = C\alpha_e\alpha_a, \qquad d_\omega = C\alpha_e^2\alpha_a. \tag{60}$$

To arrive at (59), the identities (55) have been used. Plugging now $D_B = CT\alpha_e\alpha_a$ and $D_\omega = CT^2\alpha_e^2\alpha_a$ into (57) and (58), we arrive at

$$\kappa_B = C\mu_a\left(1-\frac{n_e\mu_e}{\varepsilon+p}\right),$$
$$\xi_B = C\mu_e\left(1-\frac{n_a\mu_a}{\varepsilon+p}\right),$$
$$\kappa_\omega = 2C\mu_e\mu_a\left(1-\frac{n_e\mu_e}{\varepsilon+p}\right),$$
$$\xi_\omega = C\mu_e^2\left(1-\frac{2n_a\mu_a}{\varepsilon+p}\right), \tag{61}$$

which coincide with the results presented in [14, 15]. Here, $C = \frac{e^2}{4\pi^2}$ is the coefficient of the axial anomaly from (28). Let us remind that the first term in κ_B is the same coefficient of chiral magnetic effect, arising originally in [1, 8]. Moreover, κ_ω is the coefficient for chiral vortical effect [11–14], and ξ_B as well as ξ_ω, the coefficients of chiral vortical as well as chiral vortical separation effects, appeared first in [9, 10] as well as in [5].[5]

3.2.2 Anomalous transport coefficients in an electromagnetized hot and dense medium

In this section, we will use the method introduced in the previous part to solve the differential equations (52) for a system with non-vanishing χ_e. To do this, we first use the Gibbs-Duhem relation (22), or equivalently

$$\partial_\mu p = \frac{(\varepsilon+p)}{T}\partial_\mu T + n_e T\partial_\mu\alpha_e + n_a T\partial_\mu\alpha_a + M\partial_\mu B + E\partial_\mu P, \tag{62}$$

as well as

$$\partial_\mu D_k = \left(\frac{\partial D_k}{\partial T}\right)\partial_\mu T + \left(\frac{\partial D_k}{\partial\alpha_e}\right)\partial_\mu\alpha_e + \left(\frac{\partial D_k}{\partial\alpha_a}\right)\partial_\mu\alpha_a + \left(\frac{\partial D_k}{\partial B}\right)\partial_\mu B + \left(\frac{\partial D_k}{\partial P}\right)\partial_\mu P, \tag{63}$$

with $k = B, \omega$, and rewrite the differential equations appearing in (52). We arrive again at (57) and (58) as well as at $\frac{\partial D_k}{\partial B} = \frac{\partial D_k}{\partial P} = \mathcal{O}(\partial^3)$ for $k = B, \omega$. To solve these sets of equations together with the two algebraic equations in (52), let us start with the second equation in (57). Replacing $\kappa_B = C\alpha_a T - \frac{n_e}{(1+\chi_e)}\frac{D_B T}{(\varepsilon+p)}$ from the first algebraic equation in (52), and defining a new variable $w \equiv \varepsilon + p$, we obtain for constant α_a and T,

$$\frac{\partial D_B}{\partial\alpha_e} - \frac{\chi_e}{(1+\chi_e)}\frac{\partial w}{\partial\alpha_e}\frac{D_B}{w} - C\alpha_a T = 0. \tag{64}$$

To arrive at (64), we have used $\frac{\partial p}{\partial\alpha_e} = n_e T$ from (62). For constant electric susceptibility, χ_e, the solution of (64) reads

$$D_B = w^{\frac{\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}}\left(A + C\alpha_a T\int d\alpha_e w^{-\frac{\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}}\right). \tag{65}$$

The integration constant $A = A(\alpha_a, T)$ vanishes by requiring that $D_B(\chi_e = 0) = CT\alpha_e\alpha_a$, which arises by combining (59) with (60). We therefore have

$$D_B = C\alpha_a T w^{\frac{\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}}\int d\alpha_e w^{-\frac{\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}}, \tag{66}$$

which upon using

$$T\frac{\partial}{\partial T}w^{\pm\frac{n\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}} = \pm\frac{n\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}w^{\pm\frac{n\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}}, \tag{67}$$

[5] In [14], no difference between μ_e and μ_a is made. Here, the transport coefficients corresponding to B^μ and ω^μ are called ξ and ξ_ω.

with $n=1$ and for constant χ_e, satisfies the first equation of (57). Here, $\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}=\frac{w}{T}$ from (62) is used. Plugging D_B from (66) in the first algebraic equation into (52), we arrive at

$$\kappa_B = CT\alpha_a\left(1-\frac{n_eT}{1+\chi_e}w^{-\frac{1}{1+\chi_e}}\int d\alpha_e w^{-\frac{\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}}\right), \tag{68}$$

which reduces to κ_B from (61) for $\chi_e=0$. Differentiating D_B from (66) with respect to α_a leads for constant χ_e to

$$\xi_B = CT\left(1-\frac{n_a\alpha_aT}{w(1+\chi_e)}\right)w^{\frac{\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}}\int d\alpha_e w^{-\frac{\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}} -\frac{Cn_a\alpha_aT^2\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}w^{\frac{\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}}\int d\alpha_e w^{-\frac{(1+2\chi_2)}{1+\chi_e}}. \tag{69}$$

Here, $\frac{\partial p}{\partial \alpha_a}=n_aT$ from (62) is used. For $\chi_e=0$, ξ_B from (69) leads, as expected to ξ_B from (61).

Plugging at this stage $\kappa_\omega = 2D_BT-\frac{2n_eTD_\omega}{w(1+\chi_e)}$ from the second algebraic equation of (52) into the r.h.s. of the second differential equation of (58), and using $\frac{\partial p}{\partial \alpha_e}=n_eT$ from (62), we arrive at the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial D_\omega}{\partial \alpha_e}-\frac{2\chi_e}{(1+\chi_e)w}\frac{\partial w}{\partial \alpha_e}D_\omega-2TD_B=0. \tag{70}$$

Solving this equation leads for constant χ_e to

$$D_\omega = 2Tw^{\frac{2\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}}\int d\alpha_e D_B(\alpha_e,\alpha_a,T)w^{-\frac{2\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}}, \tag{71}$$

where D_B is to be replaced with D_B from (66). Let us notice that, similar to the previous case, the integration constant is chosen to be zero by requiring that for $D_\omega(\chi_e=0)=CT^2\alpha_e^2\alpha_a$ [see (59) and (60)]. Building the derivative of D_ω from (71) with respect to T, and using (67) with $n=2$ as well as the first differential equation in (57), we arrive at the first equation of (58). To determine κ_ω, we use the second algebraic equation in (52), and arrive at

$$\kappa_\omega = 2D_BT-\frac{2n_eT}{(1+\chi_e)}\frac{D_\omega}{w}, \tag{72}$$

with D_B from (66) and D_ω from (71). Setting $\chi_e=0$ and using $D_B(\chi_e=0)=CT\alpha_e\alpha_a$ from (59) and (60), we arrive at κ_ω from (61).

Finally, differentiating D_ω from (71) with respect to α_a and using the last equation of (57), we arrive at

$$\xi_\omega = \frac{2n_aT^2(1-\chi_e)}{1+\chi_e}w^{\frac{2\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}}\int d\alpha_e D_B w^{-\frac{(1+3\chi_e)}{1+\chi_e}} -\frac{2n_aT}{(1+\chi_e)}\frac{D_\omega}{w}+2Tw^{\frac{\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}}\int d\alpha_e\xi_B w^{-\frac{\chi_e}{1+\chi_e}}, \tag{73}$$

where D_B,ξ_B and D_ω are given in (66), (69) and (71), respectively. For $\chi_e=0$, ξ_ω from (73) leads to ξ_ω from (61), as expected.

4 Concluding remarks

The anomaly induced effects on magnetized chiral fluids have attracted much attention in recent years. They are all characterized by non-dissipative vector and axial vector currents, which are proportional to either the background magnetic field or the vorticity of the medium. The proportionality factors, whose values are dictated by axial anomaly, represent non-dissipative transport coefficients. They arise naturally within relativistic hydrodynamics, as shown originally by Son and Surowka in [14]. In this paper, we have extended the work of Son of Surowka to the case of an electromagnetized chiral fluid, which linearly responses to the external electromagnetic field through finite magnetization and electric polarization. We have shown that, within certain approximation, the anomalous transport coefficients are, in particular, given in terms of the electric susceptibility of the medium. Other ingredients are the energy density and thermodynamic pressure of the medium as well as electric and axial charge densities. They are all functions of the temperature T, finite electric and axial chemical potential, μ_e and μ_a of the fluid, as well as external electric and magnetic fields, E and B acting on the fluid. As a by product, we have also determined the complete set of dissipative transport coefficients arising in the dissipative part of the electric current as well as the viscous stress tensor. This completes the set of coefficients previously obtained in [19, 20], where the dissipative coefficients arising from the external electric field were neglected.

This work can be extended in many ways. One possibility is to assume a certain thermodynamic potential for a chiral QCD-like effective model in the presence of parallel electric and magnetic fields. Using standard thermodynamical relations, it is then possible to explicitly determine the energy density, pressure and electric susceptibility of this model in terms of a given set of thermodynamical parameters T,μ_e,μ_a,E and B. The non-dissipative anomalous transport coefficients, which are presented in this works by certain integration over $\alpha_e=\frac{\mu_e}{T}$, can then be determined by numerically performing these integrals for a given set of T,μ_e,μ_a,E and B. It would be interesting to explore, for instance, the dependence of the anomalous transport coefficients on this set of parameters, especially when the chiral model exhibits a chiral phase transition. The behavior of the anomalous transport coefficients in the vicinity of chiral critical point might be interesting for the physics of quark matter under extreme conditions, and may have phenomenological consequences in HIC experiments. We will postpone these kinds of studies to our future works.

5 Acknowledgments

N.S. thanks D. H. Rischke and A. Sedrakian for useful discussions, and S. Zeynizadeh for collaboration at the early stages of this work.

Appendix A: Relevant bases for the shear and bulk viscosities from (45)

In this section we present the bases which are used to build the rank-two tensors appearing in $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ from (45).

In order to build the coefficients of the shear viscosities η_0 and $\eta_B^{(i)}, i=1,2,3$, following traceless combinations are used [19]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a)\ \Delta^{\mu\rho}\Delta^{\nu\sigma}+\Delta^{\mu\sigma}\Delta^{\nu\rho}-\frac{2}{3}\Delta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\rho\sigma},\\
&b)\ \left(\Delta^{\mu\nu}-\frac{3}{2}\Xi_B^{\mu\nu}\right)\left(\Delta^{\rho\sigma}-\frac{3}{2}\Xi_B^{\rho\sigma}\right),\\
&c)\ -\left(\Xi_B^{\mu\rho}b^\nu b^\sigma+\Xi_B^{\nu\rho}b^\mu b^\sigma+\Xi_B^{\mu\sigma}b^\nu b^\rho+\Xi_B^{\nu\sigma}b^\mu b^\rho\right),\\
&d)\ \Xi_B^{\mu\rho}b^{\nu\sigma}+\Xi_B^{\nu\rho}b^{\mu\sigma}+\Xi_B^{\mu\sigma}b^{\nu\rho}+\Xi_B^{\nu\sigma}b^{\mu\rho},\\
&e)\ b^{\mu\rho}b^\nu b^\sigma+b^{\nu\rho}b^\mu b^\sigma+b^{\mu\sigma}b^\nu b^\rho+b^{\nu\sigma}b^\mu b^\rho.
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.1}
$$

In addition to these combinations, there are other relevant traceless combinations, leading to the coefficients for $\eta_E^{(i)}, i=1,2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a)\ \left(\Delta^{\mu\nu}-\frac{3}{2}\Xi_E^{\mu\nu}\right)\left(\Delta^{\rho\sigma}-\frac{3}{2}\Xi_E^{\rho\sigma}\right),\\
&b)\ -\left(\Xi_E^{\mu\rho}e^\nu e^\sigma+\Xi_E^{\nu\rho}e^\mu e^\sigma+\Xi_E^{\mu\sigma}e^\nu e^\rho+\Xi_E^{\nu\sigma}e^\mu e^\rho\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.2}
$$

and to $\eta_{EB}^{(i)}, i=1,\cdots,6$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a)\ \left(\Delta^{\mu\nu}-\frac{3}{2}\Xi_E^{\mu\nu}\right)\left(\Delta^{\rho\sigma}-\frac{3}{2}\Xi_B^{\rho\sigma}\right),\\
&b)\ \left(\Delta^{\mu\nu}-\frac{3}{2}\Xi_B^{\mu\nu}\right)\left(\Delta^{\rho\sigma}-\frac{3}{2}\Xi_E^{\rho\sigma}\right),\\
&c)\ \Xi_E^{\mu\rho}b^{\nu\sigma}+\Xi_E^{\nu\rho}b^{\mu\sigma}+\Xi_E^{\mu\sigma}b^{\nu\rho}+\Xi_E^{\nu\sigma}b^{\mu\rho},\\
&d)\ b^{\mu\rho}e^\nu e^\sigma+b^{\nu\rho}e^\mu e^\sigma+b^{\mu\sigma}e^\nu e^\rho+b^{\nu\sigma}e^\mu e^\rho,\\
&e)\ \Delta^{\mu\rho}b^{[\nu,}e^{\sigma]}+\Delta^{\nu\sigma}b^{[\mu,}e^{\rho]}+\Delta^{\mu\sigma}b^{[\nu,}e^{\rho]}+\Delta^{\nu\rho}b^{[\mu,}e^{\sigma]},\\
&f)\ -\Xi_B^{\mu\rho}e^\nu e^\sigma-\Xi_B^{\nu\rho}e^\mu e^\sigma-\Xi_B^{\mu\sigma}e^\nu e^\rho-\Xi_B^{\nu\sigma}e^\mu e^\rho\\
&\quad-\Xi_E^{\mu\rho}b^\nu b^\sigma-\Xi_E^{\nu\rho}b^\mu b^\sigma-\Xi_E^{\mu\sigma}b^\nu b^\rho-\Xi_E^{\nu\sigma}b^\mu b^\rho\\
&\quad-4(e^\mu e^\nu b^\rho b^\sigma+b^\mu b^\nu e^\rho e^\sigma)\\
&\quad+2(b^\mu e^\nu+b^\nu e^\mu)(b^\rho e^\sigma+b^\sigma e^\rho).
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.3}
$$

Let us notice that the combinations $c)$ and $d)$ from (A.3) are only traceless when the assumption $e_\mu b^{\mu\nu}=0$ from (8) is taken into account. Otherwise, only the sum of these two combinations will be traceless.

To build the bulk viscosities $\zeta_{B/E}^{\perp}$ and $\zeta_{B/E}^{\parallel}$ following combinations with non-vanishing trace are used

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a)\ \ \Xi_B^{\mu\nu}\Xi_B^{\rho\sigma}, \qquad b)\ \ \Xi_E^{\mu\nu}\Xi_E^{\rho\sigma},\\
&c)\ b^\mu b^\nu b^\rho b^\sigma, \qquad d)\ e^\mu e^\nu e^\rho e^\sigma.
\end{aligned}
$$

Other relevant combinations with non-vanishing trace are

$$
a)\ \Xi_B^{\mu\nu}\Xi_E^{\rho\sigma}, \qquad b)\ \Xi_E^{\mu\nu}\Xi_B^{\rho\sigma},
\tag{A.4}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a)\ e^\mu e^\nu b^\rho b^\sigma+b^\mu b^\nu e^\rho e^\sigma\\
&b)\ (b^\mu e^\nu+b^\nu e^\mu),(b^\rho e^\sigma+b^\sigma e^\rho),\\
&c)\ \Delta^{\mu\nu}b^{(\rho,}e^{\sigma)}-\Delta^{\rho\sigma}b^{(\mu,}e^{\nu)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.5}
$$

These combinations are used to build the coefficients $\zeta_{EB}^{(i)\perp}, i=1,2$ and $\zeta_{EB}^{(i)\parallel}, i=1,2,3$ appearing in (A.2).

Appendix B: Determination of $\partial_\mu B^\mu$, $\partial_\mu E^\mu$ and $\partial_\mu \omega^\mu$

Appendix B.1: Determination of $\partial_\mu B^\mu$ and $\partial_\mu E^\mu$

Let us consider the fluid energy-momentum tensor from (18). Using the definitions of $\varepsilon', p_\perp$ and $p_\parallel$, it can equivalently be given as

$$
T_{F(0)}^{\mu\nu}=\varepsilon u^\mu u^\nu-p\Delta^{\mu\nu}+EP(u^\mu u^\nu-e^\mu e^\nu)+MB\Xi_B^{\mu\nu},
\tag{B.1}
$$

where $\Xi_B^{\mu\nu}=\Delta^{\mu\nu}+b^\mu b^\nu$ and $\Delta^{\mu\nu}=g^{\mu\nu}-u^\mu u^\nu$. To determine $\partial_\mu B^\mu$ and $\partial_\mu E^\mu$, let us consider the combination

$$
\Delta_{\rho\nu}\partial_\mu T_{(0)}^{\mu\nu}=\Delta_{\rho\nu}\partial_\mu T_{F(0)}^{\mu\nu}+\Delta_{\rho\nu}\partial_\mu T_{EM}^{\mu\nu}=0.
$$

Using the relations (11)-(17) as well as the properties (8) and $u_\mu e^\mu=u_\mu b^\mu=0$, we arrive first at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\rho\nu}\partial_\mu T_{F(0)}^{\mu\nu}&=(\varepsilon'+p_\perp)Du_\rho-\nabla_\rho p_\perp\\
&-\partial_\mu(EPe^\mu e_\rho-BMb^\mu b_\rho)+u_\rho(PDE-MDB)\\
&+u_\rho\theta(EP-BM),
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.2}
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{\rho\nu}\partial_\mu T_{EM}^{\mu\nu}=-n_eE_\rho+F_{\mu\rho}\partial_\sigma M^{\sigma\mu},
\tag{B.3}
$$

where $\nabla_\mu=\Delta_{\mu\nu}\partial^\nu$, $\theta=\partial_\mu u^\mu$ and $E^\mu=F^{\mu\nu}u_\nu$. Combining then these two relations, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\varepsilon'+p_\perp)Du_\rho-\nabla_\rho p_\perp-\partial_\mu(EPe^\mu e_\rho-BMb^\mu b_\rho)\\
&+u_\rho(PDE-MDB)+u_\rho\theta(EP-BM)-E_\rho n_e\\
&+F_{\mu\rho}\partial_\sigma M^{\sigma\mu}=0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.4}
$$

Multiplying at this stage (B.4) with B^ρ, and using the definition of $F^{\mu\rho}$ from (5), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\varepsilon'+p_\perp)(B\cdot Du)-B^\rho\partial_\rho p_\perp-B^\rho\partial_\mu(EPe^\mu e_\rho-BMb^\mu b_\rho)\\
&-n_e(E\cdot B)-(E\cdot B)u_\rho\partial_\mu M^{\mu\rho}=0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.5}
$$

Plugging $B\cdot Du=-u\cdot DB$ from (14) into this relation, and using the following two relations

$$
E\cdot Du=-\frac{2(1-\chi_m)}{(1+\chi_e)}(B\cdot\omega)+\frac{n_e-E^\mu\partial_\mu\chi_e}{(1+\chi_e)}-\partial_\mu E^\mu,
\tag{B.6}
$$

and

$$
u_\rho\partial_\mu M^{\mu\rho}=\frac{2(\chi_e+\chi_m)(B\cdot\omega)}{(1+\chi_e)}-\frac{(E^\mu\partial_\mu\chi_e+n_e\chi_e)}{(1+\chi_e)},
\tag{B.7}
$$

we arrive after some straightforward computations at

$$\partial_\mu B^\mu + \frac{\chi_e (E\cdot B)}{\varepsilon' + p}\partial_\mu E^\mu = \frac{(\varepsilon' + p_\perp)}{(\varepsilon' + p)}\Big\{2(E\cdot\omega) - \frac{B^\rho}{(\varepsilon' + p_\perp)}\Big(\partial_\rho p_\perp + \frac{n_e E_\rho}{(1+\chi_e)} + B\partial_\rho M + E^\mu \partial_\mu(\chi_e E_\rho) + \frac{2E_\rho(\chi_e+\chi_m)(B\cdot\omega)}{(1+\chi_e)} - \frac{E_\rho E^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_e}{(1+\chi_e)}\Big)\Big\}. \tag{B.8}$$

Multiplying at this stage (B.4) with E^ρ, we arrive first at

$$(\varepsilon' + p_\perp)(E\cdot Du) - E^\rho \partial_\rho p_\perp - E^\rho \partial_\mu (EPe^\mu e_\rho - BMb^\mu b_\rho) - n_e(E\cdot E) - (E\cdot E)u_\rho \partial_\mu M^{\mu\rho} = 0. \tag{B.9}$$

Then, using (B.6) and (B.7), we obtain

$$\partial_\mu E^\mu - \frac{\chi_m (E\cdot B)}{\varepsilon + p_\perp}\partial_\mu B^\mu = -\frac{(\varepsilon' + p_\perp)}{(\varepsilon + p_\perp)}\Big\{\Big(\frac{2(1-\chi_m)}{(1+\chi_e)}(B\cdot\omega) - \frac{n_e}{(1+\chi_e)} + \frac{E^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_e}{(1+\chi_e)}\Big) + \frac{E^\rho}{\varepsilon' + p_\perp}\Big(\partial_\rho p_\perp + \frac{n_e E_\rho}{(1+\chi_e)} - E\partial_\rho P - B^\mu \partial_\mu(\chi_m B_\rho) + \frac{2E_\rho(\chi_e+\chi_m)(B\cdot\omega)}{(1+\chi_e)} - \frac{E_\rho E^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_e}{(1+\chi_e)}\Big)\Big\}. \tag{B.10}$$

Combining now (B.8) with (B.10), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu B^\mu = {} & \Big(1 + \frac{\chi_e \chi_m (E\cdot B)^2}{(\varepsilon' + p)(\varepsilon + p_\perp)}\Big)^{-1}\frac{(\varepsilon' + p_\perp)}{(\varepsilon' + p)} \\ & \times\Big\{2(E\cdot\omega) - \frac{B^\rho}{\varepsilon' + p_\perp}\Big(\partial_\rho p_\perp + E^\mu \partial_\mu(\chi_e E_\rho) + B\partial_\rho M + \frac{n_e E_\rho}{(1+\chi_e)} + \frac{2E_\rho(\chi_e+\chi_m)(B\cdot\omega)}{(1+\chi_e)} - \frac{E_\rho E^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_e}{(1+\chi_e)}\Big) \\ & + \frac{\chi_e (E\cdot B)}{(\varepsilon + p_\perp)}\Big[\Big(\frac{2(1-\chi_m)}{(1+\chi_e)}(B\cdot\omega) - \frac{n_e}{(1+\chi_e)} + \frac{E^\rho \partial_\rho \chi_e}{(1+\chi_e)}\Big) \\ & + \frac{E^\rho}{(\varepsilon' + p_\perp)}\Big(\partial_\rho p_\perp + \frac{n_e E_\rho}{(1+\chi_e)} - E\partial_\rho P - B^\mu \partial_\mu(\chi_m B_\rho) + \frac{2E_\rho(\chi_e+\chi_m)(B\cdot\omega)}{(1+\chi_e)} - \frac{E_\rho E^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_e}{(1+\chi_e)}\Big)\Big]\Big\},\end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu E^\mu = {} & -\Big(1 + \frac{\chi_e \chi_m (E\cdot B)^2}{(\varepsilon' + p)(\varepsilon + p_\perp)}\Big)^{-1}\frac{(\varepsilon' + p_\perp)}{(\varepsilon + p_\perp)}\Big\{\frac{2(1-\chi_m)}{(1+\chi_e)}(B\cdot\omega) - \frac{n_e}{(1+\chi_e)} + \frac{E^\rho \partial_\rho \chi_e}{(1+\chi_e)} \\ & + \frac{E^\rho}{(\varepsilon' + p_\perp)}\Big(\partial_\rho p_\perp + \frac{n_e E_\rho}{(1+\chi_e)} - E\partial_\rho P - B^\mu \partial_\mu(\chi_m B_\rho) + \frac{2E_\rho(\chi_e+\chi_m)(B\cdot\omega)}{(1+\chi_e)} - \frac{E_\rho E^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_e}{(1+\chi_e)}\Big) \\ & - \frac{\chi_m (E\cdot B)}{(\varepsilon' + p)}\Big[2(E\cdot\omega) - \frac{B^\rho}{(\varepsilon' + p_\perp)}\Big(\partial_\rho p_\perp + E^\mu \partial_\mu(\chi_e E_\rho) + B\partial_\rho M + \frac{n_e E_\rho}{(1+\chi_e)} \\ & + \frac{2E_\rho(\chi_e+\chi_m)(B\cdot\omega)}{(1+\chi_e)} - \frac{E_\rho E^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_e}{(1+\chi_e}\Big)\Big]\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

To prove (B.6), we have used the definition of $E^\mu = F^{\mu\nu}u_\nu$ to get $E^\rho Du_\rho = (u^\mu F^{\rho\nu})u_\nu \partial_\mu u_\rho$. To determine $u^\mu F^{\rho\nu}$, we multiply $B^\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\sigma\rho\nu\mu}F_{\rho\nu}u_\mu$ with $\varepsilon_{\sigma\rho'\nu'\mu'}$, and use

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^\sigma{}_{\rho\nu\mu}\varepsilon_{\sigma\rho'\nu'\mu'} = {} & -\{g_{\rho'\rho}(g_{\nu'\nu}g_{\mu'\mu} - g_{\nu'\mu}g_{\mu'\nu}) \\ & - g_{\rho'\nu}(g_{\nu'\rho}g_{\mu'\mu} - g_{\nu'\mu}g_{\mu'\rho}) \\ & + g_{\rho'\mu}(g_{\nu'\rho}g_{\mu'\nu} - g_{\nu'\nu}g_{\mu'\rho})\},\end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

to obtain $F^{\rho\nu}u^\mu = -\varepsilon^{\sigma\rho\nu\mu}B_\sigma + F^{\rho\mu}u^\nu + F^{\mu\nu}u^\rho$. We arrive first at

$$E\cdot Du = (u^\mu F^{\rho\nu})u_\nu \partial_\mu u_\rho = -2(B\cdot\omega) + F^{\rho\mu}\partial_\mu u_\rho. \tag{B.14}$$

Here, $u_\mu u^\mu = 1, u_\rho \partial_\mu u^\rho = 0$ and the definition of the vorticity $\omega^\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\sigma\rho\nu\mu}u_\nu \partial_\mu u_\rho$ are used. Considering $F^{\rho\mu}\partial_\mu u_\rho$ on the r.h.s. of (B.14), and replacing $F^{\mu\rho}$ with $F^{\mu\rho} = H^{\mu\rho} + M^{\mu\rho}$, we arrive, upon using the equation of motion (9), at $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\rho} = n^\rho_{e(0)} + \partial_\mu M^{\mu\rho}$. Plugging this relation into (B.14), we obtain

$$E\cdot Du = -2(B\cdot\omega) - \partial_\mu E^\mu + n_e + u_\mu \partial_\sigma M^{\sigma\mu}. \tag{B.15}$$

Using the definition of $M^{\mu\rho}$ from (5), and performing some straightforward computations, we obtain

$$u_\rho \partial_\mu M^{\mu\rho} = -E^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_e - \chi_e \partial_\mu E^\mu + \chi_e u\cdot DE + 2\chi_m(B\cdot\omega). \tag{B.16}$$

Here, the definition of magnetic susceptibility $\chi_m = \frac{M}{B}$ is used. Combining (B.15) with (B.16), we arrive finally at (B.6) and (B.7).

Appendix B.2: Determination of $\partial_\mu \omega^\mu$

To determine $\partial_\mu \omega^\mu$, let us multiply (B.4) with ω^ρ to arrive first at

$$(\varepsilon' + p_\perp)(\omega \cdot Du) - \omega^\rho \partial_\rho p_\perp - n_e (E \cdot \omega) + \omega^\rho \partial_\mu (BMb^\mu b_\rho - EPe^\mu e_\rho) + \omega^\rho F_{\mu\rho} \partial_\sigma M^{\sigma\mu} = 0. \quad \text{(B.17)}$$

To determine $\omega^\rho Du_\rho$, we use the definition of the vorticity $\omega^\rho = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\rho\mu\alpha\beta} u_\mu \partial_\alpha u_\beta$, and rewrite the combination $\eta_{\mu\lambda\rho} \equiv u_\mu \partial_\lambda u_\rho$ in $\omega^\rho Du_\rho = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\rho\mu\alpha\beta} u^\lambda \partial_\alpha u_\beta \eta_{\mu\lambda\rho}$ in terms of the vorticity ω^μ. We obtain

$$\eta_{\mu\lambda\rho} = -2\varepsilon_{\xi\mu\lambda\rho}\omega^\xi + \eta_{\mu\rho\lambda} + \eta_{\lambda\mu\rho} - \eta_{\lambda\rho\mu} - \eta_{\rho\mu\lambda} + \eta_{\rho\lambda\mu}. \quad \text{(B.18)}$$

Plugging $\eta_{\mu\lambda\rho}$ from (B.18) into

$$\omega^\rho Du_\rho = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\rho\mu\alpha\beta} u^\lambda \partial_\alpha u_\beta \eta_{\mu\lambda\rho},$$

and using symmetry arguments as well as the normalization property of u_μ, we obtain

$$u \cdot D\omega = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \omega^\mu. \quad \text{(B.19)}$$

To determine $\omega^\rho F_{\mu\rho} \partial_\sigma M^{\sigma\mu}$, we use the definitions of ω^ρ and $F_{\mu\rho}$, and after a lengthy but straightforward computation, where in particular

$$\begin{aligned} B^{\sigma\mu} B_{\mu\rho} &= -B^2 \Xi^\sigma_{B\,\rho}, \\ \omega^\rho B_{\mu\rho} &= \frac{1}{2}\big[-u_\mu B \cdot Du + B^\rho \partial_\mu u_\rho - B^\rho \partial_\rho u_\mu\big], \\ B_{\mu\rho}\partial_\sigma B^{\sigma\mu} &= B^\sigma \partial_\rho B_\sigma - B^\sigma \partial_\sigma B_\rho + B_\rho B^\sigma Du_\sigma \\ &\quad + u_\rho B^\sigma u^\alpha \partial_\sigma B_\alpha - u_\rho B^\sigma DB_\sigma - (B \cdot B) Du_\rho, \end{aligned} \quad \text{(B.20)}$$

are used, we arrive first at

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\rho F_{\mu\rho}\partial_\sigma M^{\sigma\mu} &= -(E \cdot \omega) u_\mu \partial_\sigma M^{\sigma\mu} \\ &+ (B \cdot B)\omega^\rho \partial_\rho \chi_m - (B \cdot \omega) B^\rho \partial_\rho \chi_m \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\big[\chi_e (B \cdot Du)(E \cdot Du) - \chi_e E^\sigma B^\rho (\partial_\mu u_\rho - \partial_\rho u_\mu)\partial_\sigma u^\mu \\ &+ \chi_e B^\rho DE^\mu (\partial_\mu u_\rho - \partial_\rho u_\mu)\big] + \chi_m B^\sigma \omega^\rho \partial_\rho B_\sigma \\ &- \chi_m \omega^\rho B^\sigma \partial_\sigma B_\rho + \chi_m (B \cdot \omega)(B \cdot Du) - \chi_m (B \cdot B)(\omega \cdot Du). \end{aligned} \quad \text{(B.21)}$$

Adding this expression to the remaining terms in (B.17), and using (14), (B.6) and (B.7) as well as (B.19), we arrive finally at

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu \omega^\mu = -\frac{2}{\varepsilon' + p}\Big\{ &\omega^\rho \big[\partial_\rho p_\perp + \chi_e E^\mu \partial_\mu E_\rho - \chi_m B^\sigma \partial_\rho B_\sigma - (B \cdot B)\,\partial_\rho \chi_m\big] + n_e (E \cdot \omega) + \frac{\chi_e}{2}\partial_\mu B^\mu \Big[\partial_\rho E^\rho - \frac{(n_e - E^\rho \partial_\rho \chi_e)}{1 + \chi_e}\Big] \\ &+ \frac{\chi_e (1 - \chi_m)}{1 + \chi_e}\partial_\mu B^\mu (B \cdot \omega) - \frac{\chi_e}{2}(B^\rho E^\sigma \partial_\sigma u^\mu - B^\rho DE^\mu)(\partial_\mu u_\rho - \partial_\rho u_\mu)\Big\}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{(B.22)}$$

Here, $\partial_\mu B^\mu$ and $\partial_\mu E^\mu$ are to be read from (B.11) and (B.12), respectively. In Section 3.2, we will use a certain approximation in the second order of derivative expansion to simplify these relations as well as $\partial_\mu \omega^\mu$ from (B.22). The resulting expressions (49) will then be used to determine the anomalous transport coefficients D_k, ξ_k as well as κ_k with $k = B, \omega, E$.

References

1. D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran and H. J. Warringa, *The effects of topological charge change in heav-ion collisions: 'Event by event P and CP violation'*, Nucl. Phys. A **803**, 277 (2008), [arXiv:0711.0950 [hep-ph]].
2. V. Skokov, A. Y. Illarionov and V. Toneev, *Estimate of the magnetic field strength in heavy ion collisions*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **24**, 5925 (2009), [arXiv:0907.1396 [nucl-th]].
3. D. E. Kharzeev, *The chiral magnetic effect and anomaly-induced transport*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **75**, 133 (2014), [arXiv:1312.3348 [hep-ph]].
4. J. Liao, *Anomalous transport effects and possible environmental symmetry 'violation' in heavy-ion collisions*, Pramana **84**, 901 (2015) [arXiv:1401.2500 [hep-ph]].
5. D. E. Kharzeev, J. Liao, S. A. Voloshin and G. Wang, *Chiral magnetic and vortical effects in high-energy nuclear collisions – A status report*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **88**, 1 (2016), [arXiv:1511.04050 [hep-ph]].
6. K. Hattori and X. G. Huang, *Novel quantum phenomena induced by strong magnetic fields in heavy-ion collisions*, [arXiv:1609.00747 [nucl-th]].
7. V. Skokov, P. Sorensen, V. Koch, S. Schlichting, J. Thomas, S. Voloshin, G. Wang and H. U. Yee, *Chiral magnetic effect task force report*, [arXiv:1608.00982 [nucl-th]].
8. K. Fukushima, D. E. Kharzeev and H. J. Warringa, *The chiral magnetic effect*, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 074033 (2008), [arXiv:0808.3382 [hep-ph]].

9. D. T. Son and A. R. Zhitnitsky, *Quantum anomalies in dense matter*, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 074018 (2004), [arXiv:hep-ph/0405216].
10. M. A. Metlitski and A. R. Zhitnitsky, *Anomalous axion interactions and topological currents in dense matter*, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 045011 (2005), [arXiv:hep-ph/0505072].
11. J. Erdmenger, M. Haack, M. Kaminski and A. Yarom, *Fluid dynamics of R-charged black holes*, JHEP **0901**, 055 (2009), [arXiv:0809.2488 [hep-th]].
12. N. Banerjee, J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Dutta, R. Loganayagam and P. Surowka, *Hydrodynamics from charged black branes*, JHEP **1101**, 094 (2011), [arXiv:0809.2596 [hep-th]].
13. M. Torabian and H. U. Yee, *Holographic nonlinear hydrodynamics from AdS/CFT with multiple/non-Abelian symmetries*, JHEP **0908**, 020 (2009), [arXiv:0903.4894 [hep-th]].
14. D. T. Son and P. Surowka, *Hydrodynamics with triangle anomalies*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 191601 (2009), [arXiv:0906.5044 [hep-th]].
15. A. V. Sadofyev, M. V. Isachenkov, *The Chiral magnetic effect in hydrodynamical approach*, Phys. Lett. B **697**, 404 (2011), [arXiv:1010.1550 [hep-th]].
16. D. E. Kharzeev and H. U. Yee, *Anomalies and time reversal invariance in relativistic hydrodynamics: The second order and higher dimensional formulations*, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 045025 (2011), [arXiv:1105.6360 [hep-th]].
17. G. S. Bali, F. Bruckmann, M. Constantinou, M. Costa, G. Endrodi, S. D. Katz, H. Panagopoulos and A. Schafer, *Magnetic susceptibility of QCD at zero and at finite temperature from the lattice*, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 094512 (2012), [arXiv:1209.6015 [hep-lat]].
18. K. Fukushima, D. E. Kharzeev and H. J. Warringa, *Electric-current susceptibility and the chiral magnetic effect*, Nucl. Phys. A **836**, 311 (2010), [arXiv:0912.2961 [hep-ph]].
19. X. G. Huang, M. Huang, D. H. Rischke and A. Sedrakian, *Anisotropic hydrodynamics, bulk viscosities and R-modes of Strange quark stars with strong magnetic fields*, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 045015 (2010), [arXiv:0910.3633 [astro-ph.HE]].
20. X. G. Huang, A. Sedrakian and D. H. Rischke, *Kubo formulae for relativistic fluids in strong magnetic fields*, Annals Phys. **326**, 3075 (2011), [arXiv:1108.0602 [astro-ph.HE]].
21. F. Schwabl, *Statistical Mechanics*, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, 2006.
22. L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Physical Kinetics*, Volume 10, Course of Theoretical Physics, Pergamon Press Ltd., 1981.